

REFERENCES

- Anderson W. C., 2000. Compression ratio: the secret to power and economy
Old Cars Weekly.
- Crouse, W. H., Anglin D. H., 1995. Automotive engines (Eighth edition):
Glencoe (New York).
- Cengel, Y. A, Boles M. A, 2006. Thermodynamics - an engineering
approached (Fifth edition): McGraw Hill.
- Farzin, H. M. and Ali, F. 2007. Dynamic load and stress analysis of a crankshaft.
SAE paper no. 2007-01-0258
- Gupta H. N. 2006. Fundamentals of internal combustion engine. New Delhi, India:
Prentice Hall International.
- Hans, D., Eric, O. and Raymond, R. 2002. The variable compression (SVC)
and the Combustion control (SCC) - two ways to improve fuel
economy and still comply with world-wide emission requirement.
SAE paper No. 2002-01-0996
- Markus, S., Knut, H. and Kurt I., Y 2002. Variable compression ratio – a design
solution for fuel economy concepts, SAE paper No. 2002-01-1103
- Martyn, R. 2003. Benefits and challenges of variable compression ratio (VCR).
SAE paper No. 2003-01-0398.
- Mohammad, R., Mohammad, R. Asadi and Behnam, N. D 2011. Stress analysis of
connecting rod of Nissan Z24 engine by the finite element methods, Islamic
Azad University, Iran.
- Solidworks. Helps 2011. BS solidworks

Sarjito 2007. Study of alternative fuel and effects of compression ratio on thermal and engine power, Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta

Vianney, R., Jacques, B. and Frederic, D. 2004. Study of a gear-based variable compression ratio engine, SAE paper No. 2004-01-2931

Wong, V. W, Stewart M., Lundholm G. and Hoglund A., 1998. Increased power density via variable compression/displacement and turbocharging using the Alvar-cycle engine. SAE paper No. 981027

Yamaha, 2010. Engine specification data for FZ150i